Federal Court



Cour fédérale

Date: 20121116

Docket: IMM-11660-12

Toronto, Ontario, November 16, 2012

PRESENT: The Honourable Mr. Justice Russell

BETWEEN:

ADRELINA DE OLIVEIRA SILVA, AMANDA SILVA CARVALHO AND GUSTAVO SILVA CARVALHO

Applicants

and

THE MINISTER OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS AND THE MINISTER OF CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION

Respondents

ORDER

UPON MOTION by the Applicants for a stay of their removal to Brazil scheduled for November 16, 2012 pending the Court's dealing with and deciding their leave and judicial review application with respect to Officer McDonald's refusal to defer removal dated November 14, 2012;

AND UPON reading and assessing all materials filed and hearing counsel on behalf of the Applicants and the Respondent;

AND UPON noting, concluding and finding as follows:

Purely on the facts of this particular case, and given the Respondents' concession that a removal's officer does have the discretion to defer removal until a full PRRA has been completed, I think the Applicants have established a serious issue as to whether the Officer properly considered (or was qualified to consider) the evidence regarding the threat of death faced by the Principal Applicant if she is returned to Brazil. The same evidence is also clear and convincing enough to me to support a finding of irreparable harm, and the balance of convenience favours the Applicants.

I am convinced that the Applicants have satisfied the tri-partite *Toth* test for a stay of removal.

THIS COURT ORDERS that:

The motion is granted. The removal of the Applicants is stayed until such time as the underlying leave and judicial review application is dealt with by the Court.

criginal issued out of / filed in the Court on the NOV A.D. 20 12 "James Russell"

Doted this 10 day of NOV 30 12 Judge

Federal Court



Cour fédérale

Facsimile Transmittal Form / Formulaire d'acheminement par télécopieur

TO / DESTINATAIRE(S):	
1. Name / Nom : Jeremiah Eastman	
Address / Adresse :	
Facsimile / Télécopieur : 905-451-1104	Telephone / Téléphone :
2. Name / Nom : Catherine Vasiloras	. 1
Address / Adresse :	
Facsimile / Télécopieur : 416-954-8982	Telephone / Téléphone :
3. Name / Nom;	F 1
Address / Adresse :	
Facsimile / Télécopieur :	Telephone / Téléphone :
4. Name / Nom:	
Address / Adresse ;	
Facsimile / Télécopieur :	Telephone / Téléphone :
FROM / EXPÉDITEUR : Jena Russell	DATE: November 16, 2012
Telephone / Téléphone : 416-973-9949	TIME/HEURE: 11:39 AM
Facsimile / Télécopieur :	Total number of pages (including this page) / Nombre de pages (incluant cette page):
SUBJECT / OBJET: IMM-11660-12	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

COMMENTS / REMARQUES: Order dated 16-ov-2012 of Russell J.

Federal Court



Cour fédérale

Date: 20121116

Docket: IMM-11660-12

Toronto, Ontario, November 16, 2012

PRESENT: The Honourable Mr. Justice Russell

BETWEEN:

ADRELINA DE OLIVEIRA SILVA, AMANDA SILVA CARVALHO AND GUSTAVO SILVA CARVALHO

Applicants

and

THE MINISTER OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS AND THE MINISTER OF CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION

Respondents

ORDER

UPON MOTION by the Applicants for a stay of their removal to Brazil scheduled for November 16, 2012 pending the Court's dealing with and deciding their leave and judicial review application with respect to Officer McDonald's refusal to defer removal dated November 14, 2012;

Page: 2

AND UPON reading and assessing all materials filed and hearing counsel on behalf of

the Applicants and the Respondent;

AND UPON noting, concluding and finding as follows:

Purely on the facts of this particular case, and given the Respondents' concession that a

removal's officer does have the discretion to defer removal until a full PRRA has been

completed, I think the Applicants have established a serious issue as to whether the Officer

properly considered (or was qualified to consider) the evidence regarding the threat of death

faced by the Principal Applicant if she is returned to Brazil. The same evidence is also clear and

convincing enough to me to support a finding of irreparable harm, and the balance of

convenience favours the Applicants.

I am convinced that the Applicants have satisfied the tri-partite Toth test for a stay of

removal.

THIS COURT ORDERS that:

The motion is granted. The removal of the Applicants is stayed until such time as the

underlying leave and judicial review application is dealt with by the Court.

criginal issued out of / filed in the Court on the NOV A.D. 20 12 Opted this 10 day of NOV 20 12

"James Russell"
Judge